



THE MADRAS LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.

Monday, 29th March 1954.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Government Estate, Mount Road, at half past two of the clock, Mr. Speaker (THE HON. SRI J. SIVASHANMUGAM PILLAI) in the Chair.

I.—QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

STARRED QUESTIONS.

Minor Irrigation works under National Extension Service in Chingleput district.

* 450 Q.—SRI M. DHARMALINGAM: Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether any provision has been made for the execution of repairs to and for the improvement of irrigation sources under the National Extension Service in Tiruvellore taluk, Chingleput district; and

(b) if so, the number of works taken up for execution during the year 1953-54 and proposed to be taken up in 1954-55 and the amount allotted for each work under the National Extension Service?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM:—“(a) Yes. No provision has been made for 1953-54 as the survey of the Blocks is going on and a list of irrigation sources to be taken up for repair or improvement is being got ready. So, a number of works will be taken up during 1954-55.

“(b) The investigation of the Minor Irrigation works to be taken up under the National Extension Service in the two Blocks in the Chingleput district has not yet been completed. A list will soon be drawn up.”

SRI M. DHARMALINGAM:—“Sir, the Hon. Minister has stated that there is provision under the National Extension Service for the execution of repairs to irrigation sources. But no such repair works have been taken up ever since the National Extension Service was introduced in the Tiruvellore taluk of Chingleput district. Is it a fact that the policy of the present Government is to have much pleasure and to be specially interested in continuing the breaches and keeping quiet without repairing them?”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM:—“All these remarks of the hon. Member are wholly unwarranted. There was no provision for irrigation works under the former Firka Development Programme. But we are introducing irrigation as an item for development under the National Extension Service. National Extension Service was inaugurated only in October last. Since then, investigation of small minor irrigation works has been made and, therefore, I have said that that work will be taken up next year.”

[29th March 1954]

SRI S. DEIVASIGAMANY :—“ Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to furnish to the M.L.As. of the Chingleput district a copy of the list of works which are going to be undertaken in the year 1954-55? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I shall do so when the Budget for the next year has been finalised.”

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Is there any possibility of including this work in Tiruvellore taluk in the programme for next year at least? ”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ I have already answered this question in my reply to clause (b) of the main question.”

Development of silk industry.

* 451 Q.—SRI N. K. PALANISAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state the amount allotted for the development of silk industry in the State out of the sum received from the Handloom Cess Fund?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ No amount has been allotted for the development of silk industry in the State from out of this State's share of Cess Fund.”

SRI N. K. PALANISAMI :—“ பட்டுத் துணி நெய்யக்கூடிய தொழிலாளர்கள் இந்த ராஜ்யத்தில் 2,500 பேருக்கு மேல் இருந்தும் செஸ் நிதியிலிருந்து ஏன் இவர்களுக்கு நிவாரணம் அளிக்க பணம் ஒதுக்கப்படவில்லை? இந்தத் தொழிலை அபிவிருத்தி பண்ணுவதற்கு சர்க்காரால் என்ன நடவடிக்கைகள் எடுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கின்றன என்பதை கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொல்லுவார்களா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Technically speaking, the silk industry people are also entitled to a share from the Cess Fund. But the All-India Handloom Board to whom the work of distributing the amount of this Cess Fund has been entrusted by the Government of India has stated that the development of silk weaving is not its responsibility. The development of the silk industry is the responsibility of the Central Silk Board and the funds required for the development of that industry are being separately allotted. A separate question may be asked as to the various measures that are taken to improve the silk industry.”

SRI S. C. VIRUPAKSHIAH :—“ In view of the answer of the Hon. Minister that the silk industry also deserves certain help from the Cess Fund, may I know whether this Government took any steps to place their views before the Handloom Board? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I did not say that it deserved help. I said that technically speaking, that industry also was entitled to help, but that the All-India Handloom Board did not recognize that fact.”

29th March 1954]

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—“ How many silk looms are there under the category of silk weaving industry? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ A separate question may be given notice of.”

SRI N. K. PALANISAMI :—“ Sir, the second part of my supplementary question has not been answered.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ It was answered.”

SRI N. K. PALANISAMI :—“ இது வரையிலும் சர்க்கார் இந்த பட்டுத் தொழிலை அபிவிருத்தி செய்வதற்கு என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுத்துக் கொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள் என்பது தான் என்னுடைய கேள்வி? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ அந்தக் கேள்விக்குத்தான் அவர்கள் பதில் சொன்னார்கள்.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“ பட்டுத் துணிகளும் கைத்தறியில் நெய்யப் படுவது போலவே நெய்யப்படுகின்றன. தற்போது பட்டு வியாபாரமும் குறைந்து கொண்டே வருகிறது. அவர்களையும் கைத்தறி நெசவாளர்களாகக் கருதி உதவி செய்யவேண்டியது சர்க்காரின் கடமை. ஆகவே இவர்களுக்கும் செஸ் நிதியிலிருந்து உதவி செய்யவேண்டுமென்று மத்திய போர்டுக்கு சர்க்கார் சிபார்சு செய்யுமா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I have stated that the agency responsible is the All-India Handloom Board. Still, I shall write to it and find out if it can give any help, but at the present moment, the prospects are not very bright.”

SRI S. C. VIRUPAKSHIAH :—“ Are the Government aware that the silk industry, especially, silk weaving, is going down and that representation has been made from the Kollegal taluk which forms the citadel of the silk industry? Therefore, will the Government place their views before the Board? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I said that I would consider that suggestion.”

Pawnbrokers in the City of Madras.

* 452 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) how many Multanis have registered themselves as pawnbrokers in the City and State;

(b) how many Marwari pawnbrokers are there in the City and State; and

(c) whether the pawnbrokers are paying sales tax on sales of articles pledged with them?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“ (a) In the City no Multanis have been registered as pawnbrokers. In the rest of the State, 14 Multanis have registered themselves as pawnbrokers.

“ (b) There are 509 registered Marwari pawnbrokers including Gujaratis in the City. In the rest of the State there are 335 registered Marwari pawnbrokers.

“ (c) Pawnbrokers pay sales tax on the sales of articles pledged with them provided the aggregate is liable for assessment under the General Sales Tax Act.”

[29th March 1954]

SRI A. RATNAM :—“கனம் அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் பதில் கூறும்போது முல்தானிகள் ஒருவர்கூட ரிஜிஸ்டர் செய்யப்படவில்லையென்று குறிப்பிட்டிருக்கிறார்கள். சென்னையிலும் நமது ராஜ்யத்திலும் நூற்றுக்கணக்கான முல்தானிகள் பணம் கடன் கொடுத்து கொள்ளை லாபம் அடிக்கிறார்கள். குறிப்பாக நூறு ரூபாய் கொடுத்து இருநூறு ரூபாய் தரவேண்டுமென புரோதோட்டுகள் எழுதி வாங்கி மக்களைக் கஷ்டப்படுத்துகிறார்கள். இது கனம் மந்திரி அவர்களுக்குத் தெரியுமா? தெரிந்தால் இந்த முல்தானிகளைக் கட்டுப்படுத்தும் முறையில் சர்க்கார் ஏதாவது சட்டத்தைக் கொண்டுவர முன்வருமா?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“As it is, they do not come within the purview of the law because they are probably something like moving creditors. But now the Government are contemplating to bring in legislation in order to control the lending of money by them also.”

2-40
p.m.

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—“Sir, சென்னை நகரத்தில் முல்தானி ஒருவர்கூட இல்லையென்று கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொன்னார்கள். ஆனால் சென்னையிலும் சரி, மற்ற இடங்களிலும் சரி நூற்றுக்கணக்கான முல்தானிகள் தங்கள் வியாபாரத்தை நடத்திக் கொண்டிருக்கிறார்கள். ஆகவே அவர்களைத் தடுக்க அரசாங்கம் முன்வருமா?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“Sir, there may be Multani money-lenders or merchants or tea-shop holders. We are not concerned with them. We are concerned with them as pawnbrokers. They are not pawnbrokers.”

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—“Wherefrom, I mean from which part of the world, do these Multanis come, Sir?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“I suppose they come from the north-western parts of India, whatever particular area it may be.”

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“In view of the fact that there are many defects noticed in the working of the Pawnbrokers Act and in the matter of lending money by the Multanis, the Government appointed a small Committee to suggest a suitable legislative measure for controlling these money-lenders. I also served on that Committee. Are the Government now contemplating to bring forward that piece of legislation to control the entire money-lending business in our State?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“Yes, Sir, that will be considered. The idea is to bring forward some legislation in the matter.”

DR. K. B. MENON :—“Do these Multanis come under the Pawnbrokers Act, or do they give loans only on pawns or are they allowed to give general loans?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“No, they do not come under the Pawnbrokers Act. They lend money in a particular way especially to the low-paid officials, clerks and others to whom they are a source of trouble now. The idea is to control this kind of money-lending.”

29th March 1954]

SRI S. DEIVASIGAMANY :—“ Is the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue aware of the launching of any prosecution against any Multani under the Pawnbrokers Act? ”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“ When they do not come under the Pawnbrokers Act, how can there be any prosecution? Not that I am aware of.”

SRI K. R. VISWANATHAN :—“ May I know the percentage of Madras pawnbrokers out of the total pawnbrokers in this State? ”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“ Evidently, the hon. Member has just now stepped in. I have already stated the number, Sir.”

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—“ The Government, I suppose, must be well aware of the great deal of evasion of this particular law and of the fact that these pawnbrokers are lending money at a high rate of interest. Will the Government give us an assurance that the Police will be put in the track of these people who evade the law? ”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“ As far as the Pawnbrokers Act is concerned, the rate is fixed at 9½ per cent and 6½ per cent. As regards the other part of the question of the hon. Member, it cannot be controlled by the C.I.D. It can be controlled only by legislation, Sir.”

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ மார்வாரிகள் தாங்கள் தொழில் நடத்தும் லைசென்சுக்கு அரசாங்கத்திற்கு செலுத்தவேண்டிய தொகை என்ன? கார்ப்பரேஷனுக்குச் செலுத்தவேண்டிய தொகை என்ன? முஸ்தானி களும் லைசென்ஸ் வாங்கவேண்டுமென்று செய்வதற்கு அரசாங்கம் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுக்க உத்தேசித்திருக்கிறது? ”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—“ Yes, Sir, there is a licence fee and the licence is renewed every year.”

Non-payment of bills of certain printers in Malabar for printing voters' list.

* 453 Q.—**SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state the action taken by the Government on the Memoranda presented to Hon. the Chief Minister on 20th November 1953, by the proprietors of Variety Printing Works and S. R. Brothers Printing Works, Quilandy, North Malabar, regarding the non-payment of their bill for printing voters' list for the Government?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ A report was called for from the Collector of Malabar. He has stated that a major portion of the claims of the printers has already been paid and that final settlement is pending due to non-receipt of original bills in some cases and the final bills and proper accounts in other cases.”

[29th March 1954]

State aid to industries.

* 454 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state the total amount of financial aid to the various industries by way of (1) loan, (2) subsidy and (3) over-draft sanctioned by the Government during 1952-53?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ The total amount of financial aid to the various industries sanctioned by the Government during 1952-53 is as follows :—

(1) Loan—Rs. 46,000.

(2) Subsidy—Nil.

(3) Over-draft—Nil.”

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—“ May I know whether any conditions are attached when the Government give any grant to industries? May I also know whether the textile industry is included in the items for which the Government give the grant and if so, may I know whether the Government are prepared to give any grant to the Aaron Mills in Pappinisseri in North Malabar? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ When Government give loans, of course, they take proper security. At the present moment, the policy of the Government is to give a loan not exceeding Rs. 50,000 provided the Board of Industries will recommend it. If the Aaron Mills can get through these two hurdles, I am fairly certain that textiles will also come under this rule.”

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—“ சார், நாற்பத்தாறுயிரம் ரூபாய் அவர்களுக்குக் கடனாகக் கொடுத்ததாகச் சொன்னார்கள். ஒரே தொழிற்சாலைக்கு இத்தொகை பூராவும் கொடுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறதா? அல்லது பலவிதமான தொழிற்சாலைகளுக்கும் இது விநியோகம் செய்யப்பட்டிருக்கிறதா? அப்படி கொடுக்கப்பட்டிருந்தால் என்னென்ன தொழிற்சாலைகளுக்குக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது என்று சொல்ல முடியுமா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ The New India Ceramics, Feroke—Rs. 30,000; Krishna Engineering Works, Kancheepuram—Rs. 14,000 and the Proprietor of Fine Hose Manufacturers Syndicate—Rs. 2,000.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“ சிறு சிறு குடிசைத் தொழில்கள் பணக் கஷ்டத்தால் நசித்துக் கொண்டு வருகின்றன. ஆகவே அவர்களும் மனச் செய்து கொண்டால், இந்தச் சர்க்கார் அவர்களுக்கும் உதவி செய்ய முன் வருமா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ A separate question may be addressed to the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture.”

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—“ During the year 1952-53, how many applications and for what sums of money, were received by the Government and which they refused? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I have no idea. If the hon. Member puts a separate question, I shall give the answer, Sir.”

29th March 1954]

Issue of printed receipts for the payment of land revenue in Kasaragod taluk.

* 455 Q.—SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR: Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state why printed receipts are not issued to patta-holders for the payment of land revenue in Kasaragod taluk, South Kanara district?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—
“Printed receipts for the Land Revenue Collections have not been issued for want of printed forms. Arrangements are being made for the supply of printed forms.”

SRI M. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR:—“May I know why such forms were not supplied before the commencement of the fasli? Is it because the taluk officers did not intimate this fact in time to the Government?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—“Sir, the stock of forms ran short and we have issued telegraphic orders and also reminders to supply them as early as possible.”

SRI S. DEIVASIGAMANY:—“Have these forms been printed yet? If not, what is the reason for this sorry state of affairs?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—“There is nothing like ‘sorry state of affairs’ and all that, in this matter, Sir. Our Printing Department has to cope with a lot of urgent work. We are doing our best. I think probably by this time the forms will be ready and even may be on their way to that place. I do not, however, know the exact position.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM:—“Sir, ரையத்துகளுக்குக் கொடுக்கக்கூடிய வரிப்பணத்துக்கு சரியான ரசீதுகள் கொடுக்கப்படாததால் பல தொந்தரவுகள் ஏற்படுகின்றன. ஆகையால் சர்க்கார் duplicate ரசீதுகள் கொடுக்க முன்வருவார்களா?”

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—“This refers only to printed forms of receipts prevailing in that place. When the printed forms ran short, other arrangements were made to have the forms supplied. Now we are trying to send printed forms themselves.”

Construction of a channel near Vayalur village, South Arcot district.

* 456 Q.—SRI M. KATTIMUTHU: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state whether the Government have any proposal to construct a channel for irrigation purposes in Vridhachalam Kasba Firka, Vayalur village, South Arcot and if so, when?

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI:—“There is no such proposal.”

[29th March 1954]

Agrarian troubles in Kalambur village, North Arcot district.

* 457 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether agrarian troubles are going on for the past three months at Kalambur village, Polur taluk of North Arcot district;

(b) how many cases of robbery have been reported; and

(c) the action taken by the Government to restore peace in that village?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—“(a) Due to certain differences that arose between the landlords and cultivators of Kalambur village at the time of elections to the local Panchayat Board which took place on 17th June 1953 the relationship between the two parties became strained. Following this the cultivators began to agitate for increased wages and increased waram. The dispute between the landlords and cultivators in so far as it related to agrarian matters was amicably settled in December last. Since then there has been no agrarian trouble as such in the village, although several cases of theft of field produce have been reported from the village.

“(b) None.

“(c) In order to prevent lawlessness two sections of Armed Reserve were stationed in the village for purposes of patrolling the affected areas. The Collector and the District Superintendent of Police visited the village in order to ensure that law and order was maintained properly. The situation in the village is now reported to be normal.”

2-50 p.m. SRI A. RATNAM:—“இந்த கிராமத்தில் போலீஸ் பந்தோபஸ்து போட்டிருந்த அந்த மூன்று மாத காலத்தில், இரவில் கொள்ளைகளும் கொலைகளும் நடந்த காரணம் என்ன? இவைகள் இனத்தின் பெயரால் நடந்தனவா? அல்லது மிராசதார்கள் பண்ணையாட்கள் பெயரால் நடந்தனவா?”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—“Sir, anyhow, the Police were not responsible for these. We find all these things have stopped now and the situation is normal now.”

SRI N. C. RAMASAMY KANDER:—“களம்பூர் கலவரத்தில் தகராறுகள் இருப்பதால் சர்க்கார் ஒரு கமிட்டி நியமித்து விசாரணை செய்து, யாரித குற்றமிருக்கிறது என்று கண்டுபிடித்து நடவடிக்கை எடுக்க முன் வருவார்களா?”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—“Sir, the situation is normal now and we need not appoint a committee to rake up this again.”

Withdrawal of recognition granted to Servindia Agricultural and Industrial School at Mayanoor.

* 458 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state why the recognition granted to the Agriculture School at Mayanoor was withdrawn during 1950-51?

29th March 1954]

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I presume the hon. Member refers to the Servindia Agricultural and Industrial School at Mayanoor. The recognition granted to this school by the Department of Industries and Commerce was withdrawn consequent on a report from the Correspondent of the institution that the school was closed down from 1—4—1950.”

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—“ May I know whether there is any proposal to open such schools in the State, and if so, the names of the villages where they will be opened, and if not, why not? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ There is no proposal at the present moment, Sir.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Sir, will the Hon. Minister be pleased to state the strength of the school at the time of closure and also the facilities afforded to the students then? We want to know the reasons for its closure, whether it was the action of the management or the fault of the pupils.”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ The information available with me points to the fact that this school was imparting instruction in a three-year certificate course in Agriculture, Spinning and Weaving, Mat-weaving, Bee-keeping and Poultry-farming. Grants have been given from 1935 to 1940. From 1940 onwards, the school was given maintenance grant from the Harijan Welfare Department, then called the Labour Department. The school was being managed by the Servants of India Society. Owing to misunderstanding among the staff, students and the management, the school was closed down. I have no further information at the present moment.”

Malampuzha Reservoir.

* 459 Q.—**SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP** : Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) how many acres of land were supplied water from the Malampuzha Reservoir in the current year;

(b) the amount of water-cess collected by the Government;

(c) whether the Government have received any complaints through the Revenue Divisional Officer, Palghat, on 8th December 1953, against the water-cess fixed; and

(d) if so, whether any action has been taken either by the Government or by the officer in the matter.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—

“ (a) The total extent irrigated during 1953 under 1st, 2nd and 3rd crop was 5,743-(74)† acres. It is too early to gather the figures for 1954.

“ (b) The amount of water-cess on the extent irrigated in 1953 is Rs. 43,053-(1-1)†.

“ (c) & (d) : No complaints were received by the Government through the Sub-Collector, Palghat, on 8—12—1953. But a petition from the cultivators of Akathethara amsom, Palghat taluk, for the reduction in the rate of water-cess for

[29th March 1954]

the second crop irrigated with the water from the Malam-puzha Reservoir Project during fasli 1363 to Rs. 2 per acre against Rs. 7-8-0 per acre ordered to be levied was received by the Collector on 26—12—1953 through the Sub-Collector, Palghat. A similar petition was received in the Collector's office direct on 6—1—1954 from the cultivators of Kaduk-kamkundu and Akathethara amsoms, Palghat taluk. The Collector informed the petitioners that it was not possible to reduce the water-cess to Rs. 2 per acre."

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP :—" Sir, what I understand is, officially 5,000 acres were given water and subsequently, there were complaints from cultivators and Government let out water to other fields, to some for one day, to others for two days and so on. Now they charge Rs. 7-8-0 on all these lands. Therefore, the request to the Government for reduction of cess was not from cultivators who had been supplied water right through. Will the Government instruct the Revenue Officials of the area to consider this part of the objection and give relief wherever it is necessary? "

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" This is entirely a different aspect of the question. If the hon. Member puts it in that light, I shall have it examined."

Inclusion of Irrigation and Power Projects in the revised Five-Year Plan.

* 460 Q.—SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government received any direction from the Central Government to send to the Planning Commission proposals regarding any new projects to be included in the Five-Year Plan; and

(b) if so, the names of projects so proposed to be included and the estimates thereof?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (a) & (b) The Hon. Member apparently refers to the Irrigation and Power Projects newly included in the revised Five-Year Plan of the State. The names of the Projects newly included in the Plan and the estimated expenditure on them, as provided in the Plan, are as follows :—

Name of the Project.	Estimated cost up to the end of March 1956.					
(RS. IN LAKHS.)						
Irrigation Projects—						
(1) Amaravathi Project	..	..	149			
(2) Vaigai Project	..	..	137.50			
(3) Krishnagiri Project	..	..	50			
(4) Sathanur Project	..	..	75			
Power Projects—						
(5) Periyar Hydro-Electric Scheme ..	..	..	135			
(6) Kundah Hydro-Electric Scheme ..	..	..	50			

"The approval of the Planning Commission for the inclusion of the Kundah Hydro-Electric Scheme in the Five-Year Plan is still awaited."

29th March 1954]

Production of bordered dhoties and coloured sarees.

* 461 Q.—SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—

(a) the number of mills, if any, in the State which have violated the rules prescribed for the production of bordered dhoties and coloured sarees; and

(b) whether any and what action was taken against those mills?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“(a) Only the Vasantha Mills, Singanallur, exceeded the limit prescribed for the production of dhoties by 0.43 per cent in December 1952.

“(b) This fact was reported to the Textile Commissioner, Bombay, for taking such action as he considered necessary.”

SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR :—“May I know whether the Government are aware of any action taken subsequent to that?”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“With regard to this mill, Sir, I am told that the Textile Commissioner has instructed the mills to adjust the little excess in their production for subsequent months.”

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—“Have the Government made any appeals to the textile mill-owners of South India that they should not produce dhoties and sarees and if so, may I know whether that appeal has had any effect?”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“Sir, this is an order of the Central Government so far as production is concerned. I do not think that an appeal by the State Government is in order.”

Sea erosion at Cannanore Payyabalam beach.

* 462 Q.—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether there was any sea erosion at Cannanore Payyabalam beach recently; and

(b) if so, the preventive measures taken by the Government on this account?

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“(a) No Sir.

“(b) Does not arise.”

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—“Sir, there was sea erosion on the coast of Malabar in several places last year and I find that not only in the sea-shore of Malabar, but in Travancore-Cochin also. There I find that the Central Government.....”

MR. SPEAKER :—“Let us not discuss about that State. Let us confine ourselves to our State.”

[29th March 1954]

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—" I find that the Central Government have taken certain steps to prevent sea erosion on the coast of Travancore-Cochin on the recommendations of the Government of Travancore-Cochin. I would like to know whether this Government made any recommendations to the Government of India to see that sea erosion in Malabar is prevented."

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—" This Government have not made any representations to the Government of India. The Government will consider the question, if any representation is necessary."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" The Hon. Minister said that there was no sea erosion. In fact, there were sea erosions in Cannanore last year. I remember that even the Municipal Council has passed a resolution regarding this and also public organisations have passed resolutions requesting the Government to take steps for preventing this. May I request the Government to enquire into the matter and take necessary steps? "

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—" Sir, the hon. Member's question reads, ' whether there was any sea erosion.....recently '. The Collector has reported that there was no erosion for the last three years. That is all the information I have."

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—" Sir, மதராஸ் ஹார்பருக்குப் பக்கத்தில் சமுத்திரம் அரித்துக் கொண்டிருக்கிறதை சர்க்கார் அறிவார்களா? அதைத் தடுக்க ஏதாவது நடவடிக்கை எடுப்பார்களா? "

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member is straying away from the main question."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" The thing happened like this: We put our questions long before and in the meantime, the Assembly was prorogued and therefore, we had to continue our questions. That is why this thing has happened. Anyway, there was sea erosion last year and may I request the Hon. Minister to enquire into the matter now? "

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—" I have no objection to enquire. But I am telling the House that for the past three years, there was no erosion and erosion happened three years ago. So, the question of lapsing and renewing of questions have no bearing on it and the hon. Member was not here three years ago."

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN :—" The Hon. Minister was saying that there were no sea erosions for the last three years. But several Members have represented on the floor of this House about erosions last year, including the hon. Member, Dr. K. B. Menon. I find that the Government have not taken any steps. May I know whether they will enquire into the matter at least hereafter? "

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—" I have given the information as reported by the Collector. I shall make further enquiry into the matter."

29th March 1954]

SRI C. H. KANARAN :—“ Is there any special reason why this Government did not represent the matter to the Government of India, when Travancore-Cochin had already represented the matter and some remedial measures are being taken? ”

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“ I have already stated that if there is necessity to represent to the Government of India, the question will be considered.”

Permanent Wage Board.

* 463 Q.—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state whether the Government have any proposal to set up a permanent wage board in the State to fix fair wages to the workers with tripartite composition as per the recommendation of the Planning Commission? 3-30 p.m.

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ No proposal of the kind mentioned by the hon. Member is under consideration.”

Closure of Mohanram Mills at Madurai.

* 464 Q.—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—

(a) whether the management of the Mohanram Mills at Madurai gave notice to the Government about the closure of the Mill before such closure; and

(b) what steps the Government propose to take for the reopening of the Mills?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ (a) Yes, Sir.

“ (b) The question whether the Mill was closed for bona fide reasons is under examination.”

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—“ On what date did the management give notice to the Government, to the Labour Department and to the workers? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ The management gave notice of closure of the mills to the Government on 8th February 1954.”

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—“ May I know when the mill was closed? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I have no information. A separate question may be put.”

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—“ I understand that the mill was closed on 8th February. The Hon. Minister says that they have received notice of closure of the mills on 8th February. May I request the Hon. Minister to clarify the position? Will he go into the matter and find out whether the management did give sufficient notice to the workers about the closure of the mills? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Sir, the information of the hon. Member tallies with my information. I do not know where there is inconsistency.”

[29th March 1954]

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—“ Sir, 8-ம் தேதி நோட்டீஸ் கொடுக்கப் பட்டது, 8-ம் தேதியே அந்த தொழிற்சாலை மூடப்பட்டது. ஆகையினால் உடனடியாக அரசாங்கம் இதை கவனிக்குமா? அதைப்பற்றி விசாரணை செய்யுமா என்றும் கேட்கிறேன்.”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ May I request the hon. Member to give me the number of any section of the Industrial Disputes Act wherein it is said that such and such time must elapse between the closure of the mills and issue of notice to the workers? ”

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—“ Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to state whether the management of this particular mill is paying compensation to the workers under the Industrial Disputes Act as amended in 1953? If not, will the Government take necessary action against the management and prosecute them for disobeying the Act? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ The management have given two assurances, viz. :—

(1) that they will give compensation to the workers on the scale laid down under the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act of 1954, viz., one month's wages in lieu of gratuity and other benefits; and

(2) that when the factory reopens all the discharged workers will be taken back according to seniority.”

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—“ Are the Government aware that the management have not given any such compensation to the workers up to now? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ As I said previously, the mill was closed on 8th February. We are now at the fag end of March. We are approaching 1st of April. The hon. Member himself knows that I cannot give a ready answer to his question. Let me inform him that that matter is being examined and is under consideration.”

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—“ What are the reasons for the closure of this mill? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Loss is the only reason.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“ கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொன்னார்கள், அங்குள்ள மாணேஜ்மெண்டார் இரண்டு வாக்குறுதி கொடுத்திருக்கிறார்கள் என்று, ஒன்று சட்ட பூர்வமாக அவர்களுக்கு நஷ்ட ஈடு கொடுக்கவேண்டும் என்றும், மற்றொன்று திரும்பவும் மில் ஆரம்பித்தால் சீனியாரிடமிடப்பட அவர்களை மீண்டும் எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்படும் என்றும், எந்த காரணத்தினால் மில் மூடப்பட்டது என்று சர்க்கார் அவர்களைக் கேட்டிருக்கிறார்களா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I have just mentioned that the Government are satisfied that the management have closed down the mill due to their having incurred heavy losses.”

29th March 1954]

SRI T. K. RAMA :—“ தனி நெசவுக்காரர்களுக்கு ஸ்தாபனச் செலவு இல்லை. ஐக்கிய சங்கங்களிலுள்ள நெசவுக்காரர்களுக்கு சர்க்கார் சலுகைகளும் அபிமானமும் இருக்கிறது. இப்படிப்பட்ட சலுகையும் அபிமானமும் இல்லாததால் இது போன்ற பாக்டரி முறையில் நடைபெறும் கைத்தறி ஸ்தாபனங்கள் மூடுவதைத் தவிர வேறு வழியில்லை என்பதைச் சர்க்கார் அறியுமா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ What has that to do with the main question, Sir? ”

Revision of pay of Assistant Public Prosecutors.

* 465 Q.—**SRI K. ISHWARA :** Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government on the representation made by the Association of the Assistant Public Prosecutors replied that the raising of their pay was under their consideration;

(b) whether the Government intend raising their pay; and

(c) if so, when?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ (a) Yes, Sir.

“ (b) & (c) The question of revision of pay was considered and dropped.”

SRI K. ISHWARA :—“ How long will it take for the Government to consider the increase of pay of these Assistant Public Prosecutors? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ The question was considered. Owing to the financial implications involved, we had to drop it.”

SRI KUNHI MOHAMED SHAFEE :—“ May I know when these representations were made and when replies were given by the Government to the Association? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ I have not got the correct dates with me now.”

SRI K. PARTHASARATHY :—“ Are the Government aware that when applications are called for, for an Assistant Public Prosecutor's post, suitable applicants are not coming forward in view of the fact that the post carries with it a very meagre salary? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ We are getting candidates.”

SRI V. GOPALA GOUNDER :—“ Because the pay of the Assistant Public Prosecutor is on a par with that of the Sub-Magistrate, may I know whether there was any agitation by the Sub-Magistrates that their pay also should be increased along with the Assistant Public Prosecutors? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ Every cadre requires revision. An increase of pay in one cadre will necessitate increase in other cadres also.”

[29th March 1954]

*Banning of the Red Flag Vivasayigal Sangam,
a Communist Party in Tanjore.*

* 466 Q.—

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The hon. Member Sri Sivaraj is not in his place. The answer to this question will be printed in the proceedings.”

SRI N. SIVARAJ : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state whether it is a fact that leading mirasdar and the Taluk Congress Committee of Nannilam taluk have sent memorandum to the Government in 1954 requesting the Government to ban the Red Flag Vivasayigal Sangam, a Communist Party in the Tanjore district and demanding the stationing of a Military force for their safety?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ No such memorandum has been received by the Government.”

Fares charged by small taxis in the City of Madras.

* 467 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—

(a) how many small taxis are running in the City of Madras and the rate per mile they can charge;

(b) how many small new cars and old cars are permitted to run as small taxis; and

(c) up to what year (model) the cars are allowed to run or converted into taxis?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ (a) 16. The maximum fare that can be charged for such a taxi is six annas per mile subject to a minimum of eight annas and waiting charges at annas two for every ten minutes of waiting.

“ (b) One new car and 19 old cars.

“ (c) All cars that are roadworthy, i.e., having a valid fitness certificate and satisfying the requirements of the Motor Vehicles Act and Rules are issued permits to ply as baby taxis.”

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ சென்னையில் டாக்ஸியில் (Taxi) சவாரி செய்யக் கூடியவர்கள் எத்தனை பேர்கள், எத்தனை மைல்கள் சவாரி செய்கிறார்கள் என்ற குறிப்பு அரசாங்கத்தினிடம் இருக்கிறதா ? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Obviously I want notice, Sir. I have no information on hand. Moreover, it would be difficult to collect the statistics also.” (Laughter.)

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ ஸ்டேட் டிரான்ஸ்போர்ட் பஸ்களைப்போன்று டாக்ஸி (Taxi) களையும் அரசாங்கம் எடுத்து நடத்த ஏதாவது உத்தேசம் உண்டா என்பதை அறிய விரும்புகிறேன்.”

SRI V. K. RAMASWAMY MUDALIAR :—“ Is there any proposal before Government to increase the number of these small taxis? ”

29th March 1954]

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Since we introduced these baby taxis, owners of 25 big taxis surrendered their permits. We are proposing to give them to the baby taxi owners so that the total number of baby taxis may come to fifty.”

SRI S. DEIVASIGAMANY :—“ Is there any proposal to increase the number of passengers from four to five? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I have just passed orders that five people could be carried in a big taxi.”

SRI S. THINAKARASAMI THEVAR :—“ Will the Government introduce trolley buses as taxis, Sir? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ Trolley buses are not meant for being run as taxis. They are expected to replace the tramways.”

Educational concessions to the children of teachers re-employed after retirement.

* 468 Q.—SRI Y. MANJAYA SHETTY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state whether school-fee concessions granted to the children of teachers are allowed to the children of teachers who are re-employed after retirement?

THE HON. C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Yes, Sir.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Question Nos. 469 and 470 may be taken together.”

Supply of electricity to Ponneri taluk, Chingleput district.

* 469 Q.—SRI S. PAKKIRISWAMI PILLAI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) the stage at which the scheme for the extension of the supply of electricity to Ponneri taluk, Chingleput district, stands at present; and

(b) when it is likely to be taken up for execution?

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“ (a) A scheme for extension of supply of electricity to Ponneri Panchayat Board area, comprising Kummangalam, Thiruvayarpady, Chinnakavanam, Periakavanam and Ponneri villages, and Vembakkam, Thiruvengadapuram and Aladu villages in Ponneri taluk, Chingleput district, was sanctioned by the Government in February 1952.

“ (b) It is proposed to commence the execution of the scheme during 1954-55.”

* 470 Q.—SRI O. CHENGAM PILLAI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether the scheme for supply of electricity to rural parts has been extended to Ponneri taluk;

(b) if so, to how many villages in the taluk; and

(c) if not, when this scheme will be implemented?

[29th March 1954]

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“(a), (b) & (c) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the answer to question No. 469.”

SRI O. CHENGAM PILLAI :—“மின்சார வசதி அளிக்கும் திட்டத்தின் கீழ் பொன்னேரி தாலூகா விவசாய பகுதிகளுக்கு மின்சார வசதி அளிக்கப் போகிறார்களா? அப்படி விஸ்தரிப்பதாக இருந்தால் எத்தனை கிராமங்களுக்கு விஸ்தரிக்கப் போகிறார்கள்? இல்லையானால் எப்பொழுது விஸ்தரிக்கப்படும்?”

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“Perhaps the hon. Member does not understand English.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“The Hon. Minister will please give the answer in Tamil.”

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“But they were names of villages. The names are Tamil names, Sir.” (Laughter.)

MR. SPEAKER :—“நீங்கள் பதிலை தமிழில் சொல்லுங்கள்.”

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“பொன்னேரி பஞ்சாயத்து போர்டு வரியாலிலுள்ள கும்மங்குளம், திருவையார்பாடி, சின்னக்கவணம், பெரியகவணம், பொன்னேரி கிராமங்களும், பொன்னேரி தாலூகாவில் வேம்பாக்கம், திருவேங்கடபுரம், ஆலடு கிராமங்கள், முதலிய கிராமங்களுக்கு மின்சாரத்தை விஸ்தரிப்பதாக அரசாங்கத்தின் நோக்கம்.”

SRI O. CHENGAM PILLAI :—“விவசாயிகளுக்கு உள்ள மின்சார வசதியை அதிகப்படுத்தப்படுமா? அதற்கு எவ்வளவு செலவழிக்க உத்தேசம்?”

THE HON. RAJA SRI SHANMUGA RAJESWARA SETHUPATHI :—“If electricity comes that question will be considered, Sir.”

Appointment to the post of Public Prosecutor, Salem.

3-10 P.M. * 471 Q.—SRI K. V. RAMASWAMI: Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state—

(a) whether the post of Public Prosecutor at Salem fell vacant recently;

(b) if so, whether any applications were called for, for filling up this vacancy; and

(c) the number of applications received?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“(a) Yes, on 18th November 1953.

“(b) Yes, Sir.

“(c) Twenty.”

SRI K. V. RAMASWAMI :—“இந்த உத்தேசத்திற்கு யார் நியமிக்கப்பட்டார்கள்?”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“Sri N. S. Ramaswami Goundar.”

29th March 1954]

SRI K. V. RAMASWAMI :—“ அவரைப்போன்று அனுபவமும், திறமையும் உள்ளவர்கள் இருக்குமபோது என்.எஸ். ராமசாமி கவுண்டருக்கு அந்த உத்தியோகத்தைக் கொடுக்கவேண்டிய காரணம் என்ன? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ It may not be possible for me to discuss individuals. But I may point out that the present incumbent was selected because he was the best of the lot.”

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM :—“ Sir, இந்தக் கரலிஸ்தானம் எந்தத் தேதியில் காலியாயிற்று? எந்தத் தேதியில் பூர்த்தி செய்யப் பட்டது? கால தாமதத்திற்குக் காரணம் என்ன? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ I had to call for particulars from the District Magistrate and this entailed some delay.”

SRI K. V. RAMASWAMI :—“ பொதுத் தேர்தலில் ஸ்ரீமதி ராதாபாய் சுப்பராயனுக்கு ஆதரவாக அவர் தன்னுடைய நாமினேஷனை வாபஸ் வாங்கிக்கொண்டதற்காக இந்த உத்தியோகம் அவருக்குப் பரிசாகக் கொடுக்கப்பட்டதா? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ As far as I am concerned, it is immaterial whether he withdrew from election contest in favour of somebody or not. I make the appointment only from the records that are placed before me.”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ May I point out that that N. S. Ramaswami was under the influence of this Ramasami (the Member who has put the question)? ”

Cases referred to Industrial Tribunal for adjudication.

* 472 Q.—**SRI A. RATNAM** : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state with reference to answers to clauses (a) and (c) of starred Question No. 920, dated 5th January 1954—

(a) how many cases were referred to the Industrial Tribunals by the Government during the period from October to December, 1953;

(b) the number of cases in which awards were granted by the Industrial Tribunals during the said period; and

(c) how many cases were booked under the Industrial Disputes Act for refusal to implement the awards up to the end of December 1953?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ (a) Thirty-one cases were referred to the Industrial Tribunals for adjudication during the period October to December 1953.

“ (b) During the said period 49 awards of the Industrial Tribunals were published by the Government.

“ (c) As all these cases are under correspondence, no prosecution was taken during the period for failure to implement the awards.”

[29th March 1954]

SRI A. RATNAM :—“ ட்ரிபுனலுக்கு எத்தனை யூனியன்கள் மனுச் செய்து கொண்டன? எவ்வளவு மனுக்கள் ஆட்சியாளர்களால் தள்ளுபடி செய்யப்பட்டன? காரணம் என்ன? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I want notice. A separate question may be put.”

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—“ Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to tell us the number of disputes which the Government refused to refer to the Industrial Tribunal during this period? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I require notice. A separate question may be put.”

Applications of Government servants in the Agriculture Department for appointments elsewhere.

* 473 Q.—SRI M. PALANIYANDI (on behalf of Sri V. R. Krishna Ayyar) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have passed orders that no servant in the Agriculture Department should apply for any post under the Government of India or under any other State Government before resigning his post under the Madras Government; and

(b) if so, when it was passed and why?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ (a) & (b) There are no orders such as suggested by the hon. Member. Rules for application for other posts are contained in the Government Servants' Conduct Rules. It is open to the Government to insist upon their servants to give an undertaking to resign their posts under this Government if they get the posts applied for elsewhere. However, on the representation made in November last by the Association of Upper Subordinates of the Agricultural Department, the Government have issued orders to the effect that persons in the Agricultural Department applying for appointments elsewhere need not give an undertaking to resign their posts in the Department if they get selected for the jobs applied for by them. But the question of forwarding applications for employment elsewhere will be decided with reference to the merits of each case giving due regard to the exigencies and the interests of the public service.”

Regional Tripartite Textile Committee.

* 474 Q.—SRI S. PAKKIRISWAMI PILLAI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state whether there is any proposal to set up a Regional Tripartite Textile Committee in several units of the Textile industry in the State, as recommended by the Tripartite Textile Enquiry Committee recently?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ No. Sir.”

29th March 1954]

SRI S. PAKKIRISWAMI PILLAI:—"I understand that the Government Labour Department have written to the managements of the various textile mills in the State enquiring whether they intend implementing the recommendations of the Tripartite Textile Committee and that many of them have not replied. What action are the Government going to take to force these managements to implement the recommendations of this Committee?"

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO:—"The hon. Member seems to have more information than I have. Even if he is right, we have made it perfectly clear on the floor of this House that the Government are not going to force any management to accept any of the recommendations of the Tripartite Textile Committee, because these cannot be considered as disputes under the Industrial Disputes Act. If the management and labour agree, we have no objection."

SRI S. RAMALINGAM:—"பிரதேசவாரியாக முக்கூட்டுக் கமிட்டி ஏற்படுத்தி சிபாரிசு செய்வது என்று மானேஜ்மெண்டாலும் தொழிலாளர்களாலும் ஒப்புக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது. அப்படி ஒப்புக்கொண்டிருந்தால் அதை அமுலுக்குக் கொண்டு வருவதற்கு சர்க்காருக்கு என்ன சிரமம் இருக்கிறது?"

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO:—"Both managements and labour have requested the formation of such tripartite enquiry committees. When the Government constitute them, they hope that the committees will come to agreed recommendations. If they don't, Government are helpless and their hope is of course frustrated."

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI:—"We are first of all thankful to the Hon. Minister for his assurance that he will not object to any agreement that may be arrived at between the employees and the employers. We are thankful for this small mercy. This is a specific recommendation which neither the employees nor the employers can fulfil. This is a recommendation unanimously made by three parties which the Government alone can fulfil. Inasmuch as it is an unanimous recommendation, I would like to know whether the Government would take up at least the task of implementing this recommendation."

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO:—"I thank the hon. Member for the certificate he has given. So far as unanimous recommendations acceptable to the management and the workers are concerned, we have no objection. But if either the management or the workers do not accept any recommendation, no initiative will be taken at Governmental level."

SRI M. PALANIYANDI:—"கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் பதில் சொல்லும்போது அது வெறும் சிபார்சுதான். அதை அமூல் நடத்தவேண்டுமென்று முதலாளிகளை நாங்கள் வற்புறுத்த முடியாது. இதை தொழில்கோர்ட்டு தகராறு சட்டப்படி ஒரு சண்டையாகவும் கருத முடியாது என்று

[29th March 1954]

சொல்லுகிறார்கள். இப்படிச் சொல்வதினால் முதலாளிகளின் கை பலப் பட்டு விடாதா? ஏற்கனவே அவர்கள் தொழில் கோர்டு தீர்ப்பை அமூல் நடத்த மறுத்து வருகிறார்கள். அப்படியிருக்கும்போது இதைப் பார்த்துத் தவிர அவர்களுக்கு இன்னும் துணிவு ஏற்படுமா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I am not aware of the result. But I must state ‘ no ’ as it stands.”

SRI R. KUPPUSWAMY :—“ Sir, சர்க்கார் மறுபடியும் தொழிலாளிகளுடைய பிரதிநிதிகளையும், முதலாளிகளுடைய பிரதிநிதிகளையும், தங்களுடைய பிரதிநிதிகளையும் அழைத்து எப்படி முக்கூட்டுக் கமிட்டியினை சிபார்சுகளை அமூல் நடத்துவது என்று யோசனை செய்யுமா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ The Government have no such intention.”

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—“ Will the Government at least appeal to the employers and the employees to accept the unanimous recommendations of this committee? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ I have made that appeal on the floor of this House and elsewhere.”

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—“ இந்த முக்கூட்டுக் கமிட்டிகள் ஆயிரக் கணக்கான ரூபாய் செலவு செய்து, நூற்றுக்கணக்கான மில்களுக்குச் சென்று பரிசீலனை செய்து, பின்னர் தனது யாதாஸ்தை சமர்ப்பித்தது. அதை சர்க்கார் அமூல் நடத்தாமல் அதை வெறும் சிபார்சு என்று சொன்னால், முக்கூட்டு கமிட்டியை அமைப்பது, அது பரிசீலனை செய்வது என்றதெல்லாம் வெறும் நாடகமா? ”

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—“ We shall remember this advice of the hon. Member and shall not form any more tripartite enquiry committees.”

Pupils in Certified Schools receiving University and High School education.

* 475 Q.—SRI K. ARANGANATHAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state the number of persons in the Certified Schools enjoying University and High School education now?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ Seven pupils now detained in the Certified Schools receive high school education.

“ None of the pupils now detained in the Certified Schools is receiving University education.”

SRI K. ARANGANATHAN :—“ May I know under what circumstances these seven pupils came to the Certified Schools? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Is it possible for the hon. Minister to give the history of every one of them? Let the hon. Member give notice of a separate question.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ Among the children in the Certified Schools who attend high schools, how many are in the Silver Home Certified School, Kozhikode? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ None.”

29th March 1954]

SRI S. DEIVASIGAMANY :—“ May I know whether the pupils go to the high school under escort or are they at liberty to attend without any escort? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ I am not in a position to give an answer. But in such cases every precaution will be taken to send them and bring them back safely.”

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Is the Hon. Minister for Law aware of the fact that in the Senior Certified School in the Chingleput district there are more boys than seven as described by the Hon. Minister, who are eligible for high school studies but were denied any such opportunity by the Head of that Institution? ”

3-20
p.m.

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ No, Sir, there is some mistake. There are certain after-care clubs attached to these institutions. There also we are imparting education. From out of these institutions, sixteen persons are sent to high schools and only one person is receiving University education. Children who are eligible for being sent to the high schools, should be sent. Even if they leave, we are sending them to schools.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“ Certified பள்ளிக்கூடங்களில் இருக்கக் கூடிய பைப்பன்களுக்கு உயர்தரப் பள்ளிப் படிப்போ, கல்லூரிப் படிப்போ கொடுப்பதற்கு சர்க்கார் என்ன நடவடிக்கைகள் போடுகிறார்கள் ? ”

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :—“ In this connection, I may say that we are imparting only three hours' literary education in Certified Schools. They learn other crafts and the 3 Rs. Then, they are able to go and appear along with others in the high schools and colleges.”

Introduction of modified scheme of elementary education in elementary schools in rural areas

* 476 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM (on behalf of Sri D. Dasarathan) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(a) whether the new scheme of elementary education has been introduced in all the elementary schools in rural areas;

(b) whether any Panchayat Board President in Wandiwash taluk refused to introduce this scheme in his village school; and

(c) if so, the action taken by the Government in the matter?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ (a) The Modified Scheme of Elementary Education has been introduced in all but one elementary school in rural areas.

“ (b) Yes, Sir.

“ (c) The matter is under consideration.”

* 477 Q.—SRI A. RATNAM (on behalf of Sri K. Aranganathan) : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state in how many schools the Modified Scheme of Elementary Education has not yet been introduced?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ One school in rural areas.”

[29th March 1954]

SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR :—“ What is the name of the Panchayat Board school in which the Modified Scheme of Elementary Education is not introduced? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ The New Scheme has not been introduced in the Panchayat Board School, Venkulam in the Wandiwash Taluk.”

SRI K. C. GOPALAN UNNI :—“ Is it a fact that most of the municipalities in the Malabar district have been declared a Basic Education Belt after the introduction of the Modified Scheme so that the New Scheme need not be introduced? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ I want notice.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“ The most important part of the scheme is giving a technical bias to the students by observing and helping the parents, who belong to occupational classes. But, as most of the pupils belong to the non-occupational class, what are the arrangements that the Government have made for these pupils to observe the work done by the parents or others? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Most of the students belong to the occupational class. Only a few belong to the non-occupational class.”

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM :—“ இந்தப் புதிய கல்வித் திட்டத்தை அமல் நடத்தும் விஷயம் பரிசீலனையில் இருக்கிறது என்றும், இதை உணர்ச்சி வேகமின்றி, அமைதியோடு பின்னர் பரிசீலிக்கலாமென்றும் கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் குறிப்பிட்டார்கள். அந்தக் கொள்கையை அனுசரித்து மேலும் புதியதாக முனிசிபல் பிரதேசங்களுக்கு இக்கல்வித் திட்டத்தை விஸ்தரிக்காமல் தடுத்து நிறுத்த சர்க்கார் உறுதியளிக்குமா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ We have not yet taken a decision in the matter. The matter is still under consideration.”

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—“ Until such time as this or the future Government takes a decision about the soundness of the New Elementary Education Scheme, will the Government be pleased not to impose the scheme on unwilling minds like the Headmaster of the Wandiwash School? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ I do not know who are the unwilling minds.”

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM :—“ கிராமப் பகுதியிலுள்ள ஒரு பள்ளிக்கூடத்தில் இன்னும் இந்தப் புதிய கல்வித் திட்டம் அமலுக்கு வரவில்லை என்று கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் சொன்னார்கள். இந்தப் புதிய கல்வித் திட்டம் ஏற்கெனவேயே தோற்றுவிட்டது என்பதை பகிரங்கமாக சொல்லாவிட்டாலும்கூட, மந்திரி சபையினர் ஒத்துக் கொள்ளுகிறார்கள். அதை உத்தேசித்தாகிலும் இந்தப் பள்ளியின் நிர்வாகிமல் எவ்வித நடவடிக்கையும் எடுக்காமல் சர்க்கார் விட்டு வைப்பார்களா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ I do not concede the fact that the New Scheme has failed. But, apart from that, I do not know what sort of action is contemplated. I shall look into it.”

29th March 1954]

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—“ சார், கனம் நிதி மந்திரி அவர்கள் பதில் சொல்லும்போது புதிய கல்வித் திட்டமானது ஒரே ஒரு பள்ளிக் கூடத்தில்தான் அமூல் செய்யப்படவில்லையென்று சொன்னார்கள். இதே மாதிரியாக இன்னும் எவ்வளவு பள்ளிக்கூடங்களில் சரியாக அமூல் செய்யப்படவில்லை? டெபுடி இன்ஸ்பெக்டர்கள் வருங்காலத்தில் இந்தப் புதியமுறை அமூல் செய்யப்படுவதுபோல் காட்டப்படும் பள்ளிக்கூடங்கள் எத்தனை? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ I think for that Sri Palaniyandi should give statistics.”

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Is it a fact that the Governmental authorities have already threatened the President of this Panchayat Board that they will take steps to supersede that Panchayat Board if he refuses to introduce the Modified Scheme in his school? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ I have no information. If such a thing has happened, I am not surprised.”

SRI S. RAMALINGAM :—“ தற்செயலாக சர்க்கார் மனம் மாறி இந்தப் புதிய கல்வித் திட்டத்தை வாபஸ் வாங்கினால், இந்தப் புதிய கல்வித் திட்டத்தை தற்போது அமூல் நடத்தாத பள்ளிக்கூட நிர்வாகஸ்தரின் மேல் ஏதாவது நடவடிக்கை எடுப்பார்களா? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ The hon. Member presumes that some action is being taken. I have no information on that point, Sir.”

SRI C. RAJAM RAMASAMI :—“ Is it not a fact that the hon. Member, Sri Vinayakam, is a very strong supporter of the New Scheme of Education? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ That is what he has always been pretending to be.”

Extent of Pyrethrum cultivation.

* 478 Q.—**SRI P. RANGASAMY REDDIAR** : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) the total extent of pyrethrum cultivation carried on by the Government and the annual expenses for the same;

(b) the annual yield thereof and the annual normal requirements of our State; and

(c) the anti-mosquito measures that are effectively introduced in our State?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“ (a) The extent of pyrethrum cultivation and the annual expenses for three years up to 1953-54 are as follows :—

			Extent of pyrethrum cultivation in acres.	Annual expenses. RS.
1951-52	..	..	13.6	5,699
1952-53	..	..	30.0	13,957
1953-54	..	..	83.0	12,466 (up to end of September 1953).

[29th March 1954]

“(b) The annual yield for the three years is as follows :—

	In pounds.
1951-52	47½
1952-53	2,810
1953-54 (up to end of September 1953) ..	1,881

“The annual normal requirements of dry pyrethrum flowers is about 60,000 lb. which is estimated to yield the annual requirements calculated at 300 gallons of one per cent pyrethrum extract for control of Malaria and Filariasis.

“(c) D.D.T. residual in door spraying of all houses in malarial areas, to destroy adult mosquitoes and thereby cut malaria transmission and free distribution of quinine and synthetic anti-malarials for destroying the malaria parasites in infected persons are the main lines of malaria control adopted. In project areas, anti-larval measures are also adopted as a supplement.”

SRI P. RANGASAMY REDDIAR :—“In what places this pyrethrum cultivation is now carried on by the State? Is there any scope to extend this cultivation?”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“Pyrethrum cultivation is done in the Nilgiris. Even for the coming year, there is a proposal to bring 50 acres under pyrethrum cultivation.”

SRI S. DEIVASIGAMANY :—“May I request the Hon. Minister to investigate into the matter of growing pyrethrum in the Cinchona fields which are not yielding money?”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“I shall also examine that suggestion, Sir.”

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—“Will the Government assign the uncultivated lands to the Harijans near about that place?”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“If it is a part of the forest area, we have to see whether there is any programme of regeneration in that area.”

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR :—“Will the Hon. Minister kindly explain what this pyrethrum cultivation is?”

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—“I suppose the hon. Members know about D.D.T. It is extracted out of pyrethrum flowers.”

Expenditure on Police department in Salem district and on Prohibition in Chingleput district.

* 479 Q.—SRI M. DHARMALINGAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Prohibition be pleased to state the expenditure on the Police department in Salem district in the year 1945-46 and in 1952-53 and the expenditure on the Prohibition department in Chingleput district in 1945-46 and 1952-53 for enforcing the Prohibition Act?

29th March 1954]

THE HON. SRIMATHI JOTHI VENCATACHELLUM :—“ As regards expenditure in Police department in Salem district in the year 1945-46 figures regarding the expenditure on Prohibition are not available as the records have been destroyed, due to efflux of time. Separate figures for the year 1952-53 are not available consequent on the merger of the Prohibition staff with the regular Police.

“ The expenditure on the enforcement of Prohibition in Chingleput district during 1952-53 was Rs. 4,68,112-14-2. The expenditure in connection with the administration of Excise revenue during 1945-46 was Rs. 86,822-6-1. (Prohibition was introduced in Chingleput district only with effect from 2nd October 1948) ”.

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Is it the policy of this Government to destroy records even before the lapse of ten years. Do the Police department destroy the records relating to the acts of criminals even within the short period of ten years? ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The hon. Member may give notice of a separate question. Questions over.”

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—ADJOURNMENT MOTION RE POLICE FIRING NEAR NADUVATTAM MOSQUE IN KOZHIKODE TALUK.

3-30
p.m.

MR. SPEAKER :—“ The hon. Member Sri T. C. Narayanan Nambiar has given notice of an adjournment motion. The hon. Member Sri K. P. Gopalan also told me that he had a talk with the Hon. the Minister for Finance regarding this matter and that the Finance Minister wanted to make a statement. The adjournment motion reads as follows :—

‘ This House stands adjourned to discuss an urgent matter of public importance, viz., the failure on the part of the Government to take suitable measures to avoid communal tension resulting in opening fire against a mob by the Armed Police near Naduvattam Mosque in Kozhikode taluk leading to loss of life to two persons and injuries to many on 28th of March, 1954.’

I wish to know what the Government have to say on this matter.”

* **THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM** :—“ Sir, the following report has been received from the District Magistrate of Malabar by telephonic message :—

‘ It seems that for some time past (about a month) there has been a convention or agreement between the Muslims and the Hindus that processions would be allowed to pass along the road in front of the Naduvattam Mosque (five miles from Kozhikode) during non-prayer hours. This agreement or convention was disliked by certain Muslims and there has been some propaganda against it. Information was received that Muslims from outside were being brought into Kozhikode in order to obstruct the particular Hindu procession that was to pass along on the 28th of March 1954. In view of the information received that an armed mob was collecting roundabout the mosque, an M.S.P. company was sent to the place yesterday. As anticipated, a big crowd of Muslims armed with knives, etc., took up their position, both within and outside the mosque, with the express intention of

[Sri C. Subramaniam]

[29th March 1954]

preventing the Hindu procession from passing. It is said that the riotous mob had two firearms with them, but this has not been verified. The mob was shouting slogans to the effect that the procession would be allowed to pass only over their dead bodies and that they were prepared to prevent it at all costs.

'The Hindu procession that came along was stopped about two furlongs from the mob and the Police tried to persuade the Muslims to disperse. Their attempts were unsuccessful and so, the District Magistrate and the District Superintendent of Police were informed. Both of them came to the spot and repeatedly requested the riotous mob to disperse, but this was of no avail. The Police then tried to push back the mob. There was stone-throwing by the mob. A certain amount of confusion must have developed then because it is said that some of the members of the mob caught hold of or attempted to catch hold of firearms of some of the policemen. Anyway, the situation being very grave, the District Magistrate had to order fire after some attempts were made at a *lathi* charge. Four rounds of ball cartridge were fired and this had the desired effect. The mob dispersed and the procession was taken through. Altogether, eight people were injured (some of these may have been injured as a result of *lathi* charge) of whom three ran away from the place, apparently because the injuries were not very serious. The remaining five were taken to the hospital and, of these, two died last night. Another is said to be in a serious condition while the others are progressing satisfactorily. On the Police side, the Deputy Superintendent of Police received three stone-hits and the injuries sustained by him were simple in nature.'

"The situation is now quiet and there is no reason to apprehend further trouble. The Police are standing by.

"The District Magistrate will be sending a very detailed report. After the receipt of this information, the Hon. the Chief Minister has sent the following message to the Collector:—

'I did not think that your capacity for peace-making and firm dealing with mischievous elements will so soon be put to trial. I am writing this from my bed after reading the news in the papers and without seeing any official report. You may read this to the important leaders.

'I was pained to read about the incidents leading to the Police firing at Kozhikode. The question of who started the provocation is interesting but unimportant. We may be sure that there will always be mischief-makers who have not learnt the lesson of the times, that we are up against those who hate the idea of God and religion and that people of all faiths and forms should unite and defend religion, be it of any denomination. The more important point is to resist such provocation and avoid falling into this old trap of music and processions. We had all thought that this issue of mosque and music had gone completely out of fashion among the intelligent people of Malabar, as elsewhere. Pride is the silly issue always involved and not worship or prayer of God. There can be no true worship or prayer on either side when the man is

29th March 1954] [Sri C. Subramaniam]

preparing to quarrel. All this had, fortunately, gone out of vogue for some time past and people have taken to thinking on different lines. But some people have started the mischief and gullible men have fallen into the trap. Besides some unthinking mischief-makers, there are some who do not like the present policy of even-handed justice that is followed by Government and who desire to create excitement among Hindus or Muslims according to their affiliation and notions of right and wrong. We should beware of these men who will lead people into difficulties and disappear thereafter.

'I hope that leaders of Hindus and Muslims in Malabar, with traditions of centuries of peaceful living side by side, carrying on worship and prayer and leading good lives without any quarrels or conflicts, will meet and advise the people and smoothen trifling conflicts and restore calm thinking, mutual respect and orderly life. I hope this incident will go into the world of forgotten things.'

"As soon as the detailed report is received, if any further statement has to be made, I shall make it."

MR. SPEAKER:—"Let us give time to the Hon. Minister. He can give a further report tomorrow or day after tomorrow."

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR:—"Is this going to be discussed tomorrow or to-day? I have to submit certain things in connection with this incident."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—"I think we should await further reports from the District Magistrate."

MR. SPEAKER:—"After a further report is received, I shall consider whether we should have a debate and what kind of debate we should have. I shall then consider whether I should admit this adjournment motion or allow a half-hour debate."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—"As the hon. Members were anxious, I thought I could get an immediate report and I got it by telephone. Let us await further reports. Afterwards, if anything is necessary, we may consider."

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM:—"இந்த ரிபோர்ட்டை சபை முன் வைத்ததற்காக கனம் மந்திரி அவர்களை நான் பாராட்டுகிறேன். தகராறு ஏற்பட்ட இடங்களில் அவசியமான நடவடிக்கைகள் எடுக்கப்பட்டிருப்பதாகவும் ரிபோர்ட்டிலிருந்து வெளியாகிறது. இருந்தாலும் அங்கு எடுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கும் நடவடிக்கைகளின் நிலைமையை குறித்து விவாதிக்கவேண்டுமென்று இந்த சபையில் சில அங்கத்தினர்கள் அபிப்பிராயப்படுகிறார்கள். ஆகையால் இது விஷயமாக முழு விபரமும் சேகரித்த பிறகு அதைப் பற்றி இந்தச் சபையில் விவாதிப்பதற்கு அவகாசம் ஒதுக்கப்படவேண்டுமென்று நான் கேட்டுக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்."

MR. SPEAKER:—"I shall give my consideration to it tomorrow."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—"I would request the hon. the Deputy Leader of the Opposition not to pre-judge the issue."

[29th March 1954]

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR:—"Sir, I think the adjournment motion is still there and is not ruled out."

MR. SPEAKER:—"It is there; it has not been ruled out."

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM:—"இன்னொரு விஷயம். ஒரு வாரத்துக்கு முன்பு நானும் என் நண்பர் ராமலிங்கம் அவர்களும் இரண்டு முக்கியமான பிரச்சனைகளைப்பற்றி short notice கேள்விகள் கொடுத்திருக்கிறோம். முதலில் நாங்கள் adjournment motion-க்குத்தான் நோட்டீஸ் கொடுத்திருந்தோம். ஆனால் தாங்கள் அவைகளை short notice கேள்விகளாகத்தான் அனுமதித்தீர்கள். இது கனம் நிதி மந்திரி அவர்களுக்கும் தெரியும். ஆனால் இன்று வரை அந்தக் கேள்விகளுக்குப் பதில் வரவில்லை. ஆபீஸில் விசாரித்ததில் நானையும் பதில் வருவதாகத் தெரியவில்லை. அது சம்பந்தமாக நிலைமை என்ன? அந்தக் கேள்விகள் என்ன ஆயிற்று என்று அறிய விரும்புகிறேன்."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—"I have seen the question and passed the answer also. Perhaps it is under transmission to this office."

MR. SPEAKER:—"It will be taken up tomorrow."

3-40
p.m. * SRI S. RAMALINGAM:—"நான் 2 நாட்களுக்கு முன்பு பாண்டிச் சேரி எல்லையோரங்களில் ஏற்பட்டிருக்கும் தகராறுகளைப்பற்றி விவாதிப்பதற்கு ஒரு ஒத்திவைப்புப் பிரேரணையைக் கொண்டுவந்தேன். அது விஷயமாக மேற்கொண்டு ரிபோர்ட் வந்தவுடன் அந்த ரிபோர்ட் இச்சபை முன்பு சமர்ப்பிக்கப்பட்டு இந்த விஷயம் விவாதத்திற்கு எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்படுமா என்பதைப்பற்றி தெரியவில்லை."

MR. SPEAKER:—"கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள்தான் இது விஷயமாக ரிபோர்ட் வந்தவுடன் இச்சபை முன்பு சமர்ப்பிப்பதாகச் சொல்லியிருக்கிறீர்களே."

* THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—"Even there, I stated that we are awaiting further reports from the departments. I have not yet received them. As soon as further reports are received, if they are really worthwhile placing before the House, I shall certainly do so."

III.—VOTING ON DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR FURTHER EXPENDITURE IN THE SECOND SIX MONTHS OF THE YEAR 1953-54.

MR. SPEAKER:—"Let us now take up the Supplementary Demands. Regarding this matter, I wish to know whether the House wants to take up Demand by Demand for discussion or only one Demand. Dr. K. B. Menon told me that he wanted the Medical Demand to be taken up first. I wish to know whether the Hon. the Leader of the House has got to say anything in the matter."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM:—"The Government have no objection to any Demand being taken up first."

MR. SPEAKER:—"Then the Hon. Minister must move a motion under rule 22."

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM:—"We shall take up the Medical Demand, Sir. Let hon. Member Dr. K. B. Menon initiate the discussion on this Demand."

29th March 1954]

IV.—GOVERNMENT MOTION.

MOTION UNDER RULE 22 (3) OF THE MADRAS ASSEMBLY RULES.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ Sir, I beg to move—
‘That under rule 22 (3) of the Madras Assembly Rules,
Demand XVI—Medical may be taken up first.’ ”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Since it is an agreed motion, I think I need not put it to the vote of the House. I take it that it is passed.”

III.—VOTING ON DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR FURTHER EXPENDITURE IN THE SECOND SIX MONTHS FOR THE YEAR 1953-54—cont.

DEMAND XVI—MEDICAL.

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—“ Mr. Speaker, I move—
‘That the Government be granted a further sum not exceeding Rs. 30,02,800 under Demand XVI—Medical.’ ”

* SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Sir, I rise on a point of order. The Hon. the Minister for Health has straightway come before this House requesting the grant under the Medical Demand on behalf of the Government. Is it not the usual practice to say, ‘On the recommendation of the Governor, I move . . . ’? That is the usual practice followed. There is some change in the procedure which we are not able to understand. Is it proper to move the Demand in the manner the Hon. Minister has done? I want a ruling from the Chair on this point, Sir.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Does the hon. Member say that the words ‘On the recommendation of the Governor’ should have been added to the motion moved by the Hon. Minister? ”

* SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—“ Certainly, Sir. Otherwise, the procedure becomes defective. Can the Hon. Minister move this Demand in his own capacity? ”

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—“ The recommendation of the Governor is only a formality. When the Hon. Minister for Health moved the Demand, it means that all those formalities have been gone through.”

MR. SPEAKER :—“ Motion moved—

‘That the Government be granted a further sum not exceeding Rs. 30,02,800 under Demand XVI—Medical.’ ”

Hon. Member Dr. K. B. Menon may now speak. At 4-45 p.m., I shall call the Hon. Minister to reply. At 5 p.m. I shall guillotine the other Demands.”

* DR. K. B. MENON :—“ Mr. Speaker, I don’t mind the Hon. Minister’s leaving out the usual few technical words. But I do wish that the Hon. the Minister in charge of Medicine had made a statement giving us a few facts. I think that is the system followed during the budget discussions; Hon. Ministers,

[29th March 1954]

DEMAND XXXVIII—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON ELECTRICITY SCHEMES.

MR. SPEAKER :—" The question is—

' That the Government be granted for the year 1953-54 a further sum not exceeding Rs. 100 under Demand XXXVIII—Capital Outlay on Electricity Schemes '."

The Demand was put and carried and the Grant was made.

DEMAND XXXIX—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON ROAD TRANSPORT SCHEMES.

MR. SPEAKER :—" The question is—

' That the Government be granted for the year 1953-54 a further sum not exceeding Rs. 1,07,400 under Demand XXXIX—Capital Outlay on Road Transport Schemes '."

The Demand was put and carried and the Grant was made.

MR. SPEAKER :—" The House will now adjourn and meet again at 10 a.m. tomorrow."

The House then adjourned.

V.—PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

* 1. *The Madras City Municipal (Amendment) Bill, 1954 (L.C. Bill No. 7 of 1954) (as passed by the Council).*

* 2. *The Courtallam Township Bill, 1954 (L.C. Bill No. 8 of 1954) (as passed by the Council).*

* Laid on the table on 27th March 1954.

வாய்மையே வெல்லும்
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS